1	JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9017		
2	E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com  HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP		
3	101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 Tel: (702) 805-8340 Fax: (702) 805-8340		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7	SANDRA M. MEZA-PEREZ an Individual,	CASE NO. 2.10. COMES ARG FINA	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-00373-APG-EJY	
9	VS.		
10	SBARRO LLC dba SBARRO PIZZA, a foreign limited liability company, SBARRO	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM (PROPOSED)	
11	INC., dba SBARRO PIZZA a foreign corporation, ZACHARY CEBALLES, an		
12	individual, EFRAIN HERNANDEZ, an individual, JESUS ALATORRE, an		
13	individual,  Defendants.		
ا 4	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM		
15	You may read the entire verdict form before answering the questions. You must answer all o		
16	the questions, unless otherwise indicated. Answ	ver the questions in numerical order. Follow all	
17	directions. After you have answered all require	ed questions, the foreperson shall sign and date	
	the Special Verdict Form and contact the bailiff.		
18			
9		nderance of the evidence, that she was subjected	
20	to quid pro quo sexual harassment through the	ne actions of Zachary Ceballes and/or Sbarro	
21	Defendant's other employees?		
22	YES NO		
23			
24			
	Page	<b>1</b> of <b>6</b>	

1	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 2. If you answer no, proceed to
2	Question 4.
3	
4	2. Has plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that, after plaintiff
5	reported that she was subjected to quid pro quo sexual harassment, the Sbarro Defendants failed to take reasonable remedial steps to end the sexually hostile work environment and to
6	discourage possible future harassment by Sbarro Defendants' employees?
7	
8	YES NO
9	
10	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 3. If you answer no, proceed to Question 4.
11	
12	3. What sum of money, if any, do you unanimously agree will fairly and reasonably
13	compensate plaintiff for the injuries she proved she suffered as a result of the quid pro quo
14	sexual harassment you found?
15	\$
16	· ·
17	Go to Question 4.
18	
19	4. Has plaintiff proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she was subjected to a sexually hostile work environment through the actions of Zachary Ceballes and/or Sbarro
20	Defendant's other employees?
21	
22	YES NO
23	
24	

1	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 5. If you answer no, proceed to
2	Question 7.
3	
4	5. Has plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that, after plaintiff
5	reported a sexually hostile work environment, the Sbarro Defendants failed to take reasonable
6	remedial steps to end the sexually hostile work environment and to discourage possible future
	harassment by Sbarro Defendants' employees?
7 8	YESNO
9	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 6. If you answer no, proceed to
11	Question 7.
12	6. What sum of money, if any, do you unanimously agree will fairly and reasonably
13	compensate plaintiff for the injuries she proved she suffered as a result of the sexually hostile
14	work environment you found?
15	\$
16	
17	Go to Question 7.
18	
19	7. Has plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that, after plaintiff
20	reported quid pro quo sexual harassment and/or a sexually hostile work environment, she was subjected to retaliation through the actions of Zachary Ceballes and/or Sbarro Defendant's other
21	employees?
22	
23	YES NO
24	

## Case 2:19-cv-00373-APG-EJY Document 366 Filed 08/16/22 Page 4 of 6

1	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 8. If you answer no, proceed to
2	Question 9.
3	
4	8. What sum of money, if any, do you unanimously agree will fairly and reasonably
5	compensate plaintiff for the injuries she proved she suffered as a result of the retaliation you
6	found?
7	<b>\$</b>
8	
9	Go to Question 9.
10	9. Has plaintiff proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she was subjected
11	to an assault(s) through the actions of Zachary Ceballes?
12	
13	YES NO
14	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 10. If you answer no, proceed
15	to Question 11.
16	
17	10. What sum of money, if any, do you unanimously agree will fairly and reasonably
18	compensate plaintiff for the injuries she proved she suffered as a result of the assault(s) you found?
19	Tourid:
20	\$
21	
22	Go to Question 11.
23	
24	
	Page <b>4</b> of <b>6</b>

1	11. Has plaintiff proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she was subjected
2	to a battery(ies) through the actions of Zachary Ceballes?
3	
4	YES NO
5	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 12. If you answer no, proceed
6	to Question 13.
7	
8	12. What sum of money, if any, do you unanimously agree will fairly and reasonably
9	compensate plaintiff for the injuries she proved she suffered as a result of the battery(ies) you
10	found?
11	\$
12	
13	Go to Question 13.
ا 14	
15	13. Has plaintiff proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she was subjected to false imprisonment(s) through the actions of Zachary Ceballes?
16	to faise imprisonment(s) through the actions of Zachary Ceounes.
17	YES NO
18	
19	If you answer yes to this question, proceed to Question 14. If you answer no, proceed
20	to Question 15.
21	14. What sum of money, if any, do you unanimously agree will fairly and reasonably
22	compensate plaintiff for the injuries she proved she suffered as a result of the false
23	imprisonment(s) you found?
24	
1	

Case 2:19-cv-00373-APG-EJY Document 366 Filed 08/16/22 Page 6 of 6